· · · ·			Q.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/092,821	HARTMEIER, MARTINA K.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roland G. Foster	2645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31	6 (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a ) or other appropriate communicati RIGHTS. This application is subject	application. If not include on will be mailed in due	ed course. <b>THIS</b>
1. $\boxtimes$ This communication is responsive to <u>the amendment, filed</u>	d on 06 March 2002.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-13 (were 24-36).			
3. The drawings filed on <u>06 March 2002</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.		
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority of a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have a linternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON! THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be considered by the Notice of Draftsper 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date	e been received. e been received in Application No. comments have been received in the of this communication to file a rep MENT of this application.  nitted. Note the attached EXAMINE res reason(s) why the oath or declar list be submitted. Is son's Patent Drawing Review (PT)	is national stage applicately complying with the recent of the state o	quirements
Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR			back) of
each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in  7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	the header according to 37 CFR 1.12 DSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL	.1(d). L must be submitted. N	
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No./Mail Date 03/06/02  4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	6.  Interview Summa Paper No./Mail I 708), 7.  Examiner's Amer	Date	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 1-04)

of Biological Material

9. Other \_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/092,821

Art Unit: 2645

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24-36 are allowed.

## Examiner's Reasons for Allowance

Claims 24-36 in the instant application are similar to claims previously allowed in parent U.S. Patent Application No. 09/189,094 (hereinafter "the parent application") now issued as U.S. Patent No. 6,404,883 to Hartmeier (hereinafter "the Hartmeier patent"). Specifically, the broadest independent claim in the instant application is claim 33. Instant claim 33 differs substantively from allowed claim 7 in the Hartmeier patent in that instant claim 33 recites a computer readable medium having a computer program performing functions equivalent to the method steps in claim 7. Therefore, see the examiner's previous reasons for allowance, as set forth in the parent application, for further details regarding the examiner's reasons for allowance.

Although the reasons for allowance is the same for both the instant application and the Hartmeier patent, the claims do differ enough (in ways not affecting the notice of allowance) to escape a double patenting rejection. For example, the independent claims of the instant application recite specific routines (e.g., a "first routine", "second routine", etc.) that perform specific functions that do not have a one to one correspondence with the system components and method steps in the Hartmeier. For example, compare the functions recited by each specific routine in claim 33 with the system components in claim 1 and the method steps in claim 7 of the

Application/Control Number: 10/092,821

Page 3

Art Unit: 2645

Hartmeier patent. Thus, it would not have been obvious to modify the system components and method steps in the Hartmeier patent into the plurality of differing routines that perform differing functions as recited in the instant application.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Hartmeier patent itself is a child of parent U.S. Application No. 08/670,834 (now issued as U.S. Patent No. 5,864,616), which has a priority date of June 28, 1996. Thus, the instant application has a domestic priority date going back to June 28, 1996.

Art Unit: 2645

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roland Foster whose telephone number is (703) 305-1491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan S. Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is

(703) 306-0377.

Roland G. Foster

Primary Patent Examiner

November 28, 2004